

# Highlights of CNSTAT Report on Transparency in Statistical Information :

## The Use of Metadata Standards and Tools for Greater Transparency of Official Statistics

**Dan Gillman**

*US Bureau of Labor Statistics*

FCSM 2022

Session G2

October 27, 2022



# Transparency

- In the report, transparency is defined as
  - ▶ Transparency is the provision of sufficiently detailed documentation of all the processes of producing official estimates.
  - ▶ The goal of transparency is to enable consumers of federal statistics to accurately understand and evaluate how estimates are generated
- From this, there is need for documentation
- Documentation and metadata
  - ▶ 2 sides of the same coin



# Metadata

- Data used to describe some resource(s)
  - ▶ Role for data, not a kind
- Same as documentation, only more formal
  - ▶ Documentation – typically in text form
    - Word, PDF, HTML documents
  - ▶ Metadata – typically in a database (repository)
    - RDBMS (relational), XML (hierarchical), RDF (graph)
- Not all documentation can be formalized
  - ▶ Rationales – reasoning supporting some decision



# Metadata Schema

- Organized by a schema
  - ▶ Framework for structuring and organizing
  - ▶ Similar to a model
  - ▶ Contains bins (elements) for entering metadata
- Schema is a template for metadata
- Filled in schema is an instance

# Technical Specifications

- Schema is a kind of technical specification
- Formalized set of requirements
- Conform to specification
  - ▶ Satisfy all requirements
- Standards are examples
  - ▶ Technical specifications developed under Open, Fair, Balanced, Transparent, Consensus Process



# Standards

## ■ Metadata Standards

- ▶ Technical specifications
- ▶ Define how metadata are organized, usually with a schema
- ▶ Systems designed to implement standards
  - Achieve conformance by satisfying requirements
  - Guarantees enough metadata is available
- ▶ Transparency, necessary condition

## ■ Many metadata standards in statistics

- ▶ DDI, SDMX, GSIM, GSBPM
- ▶ Other statistical and generic standards

# Value of Metadata Standards

- Fit-for-purpose best practices from official statistics community
- Increase compatibility, interoperability of processes and systems
- Reduce development cost and maintenance burden
- Improve time-to-market with existing tools, methodology
- Improve quality with tried-and-tested methods, systems, processes
- Increase collaboration with international statistics community
- Use existing capacity building, staff with existing knowledge can be operational quicker



# Standards Explained 1/5

- **GSBPM: Generic Statistical Business Process Model**
  - ▶ UNECE – developed and maintained
  - ▶ Describes the activities and processes of official statistics offices
  - ▶ Some uses – classifying survey design or production systems, and system development activities
  - ▶ Adapted by the Census Bureau and BLS
  - ▶ Broad worldwide adoption



# Standards Explained 2/5

- **GSIM: Generic Statistical Information Model**
  - ▶ UNECE – developed and maintained
  - ▶ Conceptual, reference framework for statistical information
  - ▶ Describes inputs/outputs (e.g., data set, variable) for GSBPM processes
  - ▶ Used for designing and standardizing data architectures
  - ▶ Not directly implementable
  - ▶ Examples – National statistical offices, especially in Europe and Australia

# Standards Explained 3/5

## ■ DDI: Data Documentation Initiative

- ▶ DDI Alliance – developed and maintained
- ▶ Suite of metadata standards for social and behavioral science data
- ▶ All have an XML implementable representation
- ▶ Codebook (2000), Lifecycle (2008), Cross-Domain Integration (late 2022)

# Standards Explained 4/5

## ■ DDI: Data Documentation Initiative

- ▶ Codebook – description of a data set or study, contains variables, questions, data structure
  - Example 1 – International Household Survey Network (IHSN)
  - Example 2 – Documentation of archived data sets at ICPSR (University of Michigan)
- ▶ Lifecycle – Supports GSBPM, like GSIM, provides linkages across surveys and time
  - Example 1 – BLS Consumer Expenditure Survey public use microdata
  - Example 2 – MIDUS (Mid-life in the US) study at the University of Wisconsin
- ▶ Cross-Domain Integration (still a draft, expected release early 2022)
  - Supports multiple data structures; linkages across variables, time, data sets; supports data integration
  - Independent of statistical domain; gaining usage in scientific and social data communities, including BLS and DOL

# Standards Explained 5/5

- **SDMX: Statistical Data and Metadata eXchange**
  - ▶ Mainly used to describe data and metadata sets and how they are exchanged/reported
  - ▶ Directly implementable in XML, CSV, JSON
  - ▶ Automate exchange/dissemination through standard web service interfaces
  - ▶ Has a metadata repository standard to allow distributed metadata storage
  - ▶ Numerous open-source tools available
  - ▶ Focus was on aggregated, international exchange. New version has more microdata features
    - Examples – many around the world, especially national and international banks, national statistical offices
    - Example in US – federal statistical agencies report national indicators to IMF DSBB via SDMX



# Metadata Systems

- Repository is the database for metadata
- Interface is the means to interact
- Combination is metadata system
- System can be combined with others
  - ▶ Makes metadata useful
  - ▶ Improves user experience



# Building Systems

- Obtain upper management support
  - ▶ Without this, long term success is unlikely
- Select technical specification
  - ▶ Existing standard is preferable
  - ▶ No reason to reinvent the wheel
  - ▶ Increase interoperability and consistency
- Don't try to build a cathedral at the start
  - ▶ But use long-term plan as a guide

# Iterative Approach

- Build slowly, use iterative approach
  - ▶ Add useful new functionality at each stage
  - ▶ Easier to get funding for well-defined, small steps
- At each step
  - ▶ Build
  - ▶ Test
  - ▶ Deploy
  - ▶ Get feedback
  - ▶ Plan new functionality (based on feedback)
  - ▶ Repeat



# Contact Information

**Dan Gillman**

Information Scientist

Office of Survey Methods Research

[www.bls.gov/osmr](http://www.bls.gov/osmr)

(w) 202-691-7523

(c) 410-624-9582

[Gillman.Daniel@BLS.Gov](mailto:Gillman.Daniel@BLS.Gov)

