## Ethical Issues in the Development of Complex Machine Learning Algorithms

Dr. Sara R. Jordan

Policy Counsel, AI

Future of Privacy Forum

&

School of Public and International Affairs

Virginia Tech

### **Presentation Overview**

- Principle Proliferation
- Recent Advice
  - World Economic Forum
  - General Services Administration
- Simplifying Principles: Accountability in ML Development
- Explicability in ML Deployment

# **Principle Proliferation**

- > 230 statements on "AI ethics", "Data ethics", "ethics for machine learning"
  - Consistent 7 principles
- Increase in "targeted" applications of principles
  - "Ethically aligned design for \_\_\_\_\_" series
- Increase in attention to use of principles for audit functions
  - UK ICO, EU working groups

### **Recent Advice**

#### **US General Services Administration**

- 7 Data Ethics Tenets
  - 1. Be aware of and uphold applicable statutes, regulations, professional practices, and ethical standards
  - 2. Be honest and act with integrity
  - 3. Be accountable and hold others accountable
  - 4. Be transparent
  - 5. Be informed of developments in the field of data science
  - 6. Be respectful of privacy and confidentiality
  - 7. Be respectful of the public, individuals and communities

### **World Economic Forum Applications**

- Risk-benefit analysis based on 12 considerations
  - 1. Justify the choice of AI use
  - 2. Adopt a multi-stakeholder approach
  - 3. Consider relevant regulations and best practices
  - 4. Apply RBA across lifecycle
  - 5. User centered/ case based approach
  - 6. Lay out a risk prioritization schema
  - 7. Define performance metrics
  - 8. Define operational roles
  - 9. Specify data requirements and flows
  - 10. Specify lines of accountability
  - 11. Supporting a culture of experimentation
  - 12. Create educational resources

# Simplifying Principles: Accountability

- In the absence of definitive regulation and enforcement authority\*, the principle of accountability encourages
  - Attributability
  - Answerability
  - Action

\*not explicitly encouraging this:

- Actions of accountability
  - Attributability: modular documentation in code, documentation about code for internal use, documentation about code for external consumption
  - Answerability: development leadership and sign off, building in redundant oversight
  - Action: authoritative and traceable go/ no-go choices

# Simplifying Principles: Explicability

- Lessons from the A-Levels in the UK
  - Deformation of the term "algorithm" into a cudgel
    - Internal Explicability: 319 page Ofqual report
      - Careful methodology, clear pathways for decisions
      - Well-designed charts and graphs
        - Explicability for statisticians
    - External Explicability
      - Did not include "tweetable" tidbits
      - Clash of professional cultures and language
        - Was school testing history a corrective to grade inflation or was it taking context into account?
        - Not explicable for education community or lay public

# Accountability and Explicability in Development and Deployment

### Internal

- Attributability
  - This module contributes \_\_\_\_\_ to the end product
- Answerability
  - \_\_\_ members of the team contributed \_\_\_\_ components to this module
- Action
  - <u>team lead made</u> go/no-go decision to use this

### External

- Attributability
  - This ML technique was chosen from the following set to perform these tasks
- Answerability
  - This ML technique was chosen because it performed at this level, which is the appropriate level because
- Action
  - members of the team made the following choices for uses of this technique for these tasks at this expected performance level

# Accountability and Explicability Communication

- Pay attention to and use ethics language
  - Correcting grade inflation or accounting for context
- Tweetable tidbits and simplified communications
- Use language carefully
  - Statistical model, machine learning, algorithm, decision-support system

# Other components for Accountability

- Future of Privacy Forum Ethical Data Sharing Review Committee
  - developing risk assessment methods that help assure objectivity and independence in evaluation of projects
    - Data asset valuations
    - Data-use risk evaluation
    - Model-use risk evaluation

# Thank you

Contact: <u>sjordan@fpf.org</u>; <u>srjordan@vt.edu</u>