

# Accounting for Missing Data in the Census Coverage Measurement Survey

Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology Conference

November, 2013

Vincent Thomas Mule, Jr. and Scott Konicki

# Census Coverage Measurement (CCM) Survey

- Survey-based evaluation of the 2010 Census
- Net coverage of household population and housing units
  - Dual system estimation
- Components of Census Coverage
  - Correct and erroneous enumerations
  - Omissions

# Accounting for Missing Data in CCM Estimation

- Focus on household population
- Highlight procedures for dual system estimation

# Dual System Estimation

(Shaded in green: Can be measured)		Enumerated in PES ? ( P Sample )		
		YES	NO	Total
Enumerated in Census ?  ( E sample )	YES	$N_{11}$	$N_{12}$	$N_{1+}$
	NO	$N_{21}$	$N_{22}$	$N_{2+}$
Total		$N_{+1}$	$N_{+2}$	$N$

# Dual System Estimation (cont.)

		Enumerated in PES ? ( P Sample )		
		YES	NO	Total
Enumerated in Census ?  ( E sample )	YES	#2 Matches		#3 Correct Enumerations
	NO			
	Total	#1 P sample		Total Population

# 1. Population (P) Sample

Independent population interview  
August to October 2010

Sources of Missing Data:

- Noninterviews
- Demographic characteristics
- Is the rostered person a resident on Interview Day? Inclusion status

# Noninterviews

## 3.1 percent noninterviews

### Noninterview adjustment procedure

- Cell-based approach
- Check: Noninterview  $< 2 \times$  Interview
- Spreading to larger aggregates instead of collapsing

# Missing Characteristics of Population Sample

Percentages of missing:

- 2.8% race
- 2.6% Hispanic origin
- 6.1% age
- 1.3% sex
- 2.5% relationship



# Characteristic Imputation for Missing Characteristics

## Census Edit and Imputation System

- Formed Census-day and Interview-day households
- Imputation of demographic characteristics and tenure

# Unresolved Population Sample Inclusion Status

Is the person a resident of housing unit on  
Interview Day?

- 2.9% unresolved

# Predictions for Unresolved Population Sample Inclusion Status

- 2010: Logistic regression modeling
- 2000: Weighted cell means
- 1990: Logistic regression modeling

# Models for Unresolved Population Sample Inclusion Status

	Unresolved Group	Model
1	Reported Name and 2 Characteristics  Census Day, Seasonal or Other address during Interview	All resolved cases  Followup reasons, demographics, type of address and others  Address flags used in model
2	Reported Name and 2 Characteristics  No address collected	All resolved cases  Followup reasons, demographics, type of address and others  Address flags not used in model
3	Did not report name and 2 Characteristics	All resolved cases  Where rostered in interview? Residence status Housing unit matching

## 2. Population Sample Match Status

Does the Population person match to a correct enumeration in the census?

Unresolved Match status in 2010

Mover Status	Number of Cases	Unresolved Match Status
Nonmover	317,000	0.0%*
Outmover	1,200	0.0%*
Inmover	26,400	6.7%

# Predicted probabilities for Unresolved Match Status

$$\Pr(\text{Match}) = \Pr(\text{Inmover}) \times \Pr(\text{Match}|\text{Inmover}) + (1 - \Pr(\text{Inmover})) \times \Pr(\text{Match}|\text{Not Inmover})$$

Probability	Approach
$\Pr(\text{Inmover})$	Weighted average
$\Pr(\text{Match} \text{Inmover})$	Logistic regression of resolved inmovers
$\Pr(\text{Match} \text{Not Inmover})$	Logist regression of resolved nonmovers and outmovers

# 3. Census Correct Enumeration Status

- Which census enumerations were correct enumerations?

4.8 percent unresolved enumeration status

# Models for Unresolved Enumeration Status

	Unresolved Group	Model
1	<p>Census Day, Seasonal or Other address during Interview</p> <p>or</p> <p>Duplicate found in census</p>	<p>All resolved cases</p> <p>Followup reason, demographics, proxy interview and others</p> <p>Address flags and duplication flag used in model</p>
2	<p>No address collected or duplicate found</p>	<p>All resolved cases</p> <p>Followup reason, demographics, proxy interview and others</p> <p>Address flags and duplication flag not used in model</p>



# Conclusion

Methods shown today to compensate for:

- Unit-level missing data
- Person-level missing data

Major changes since 2000

- Logistic regression models
- Same characteristic system as Census

# Thank you

Thanks to Rachel Bray, Andrew Keller,  
Mark Seiss, Robert Sands and Colt  
Viehdorfer

[Vincent.t.mule.jr@census.gov](mailto:Vincent.t.mule.jr@census.gov)