On Implementing a New Imputation Method into Production in the 2017 Economic Census Illustrated through Selected Vignettes

Katherine Jenny Thompson and Laura Bechtel Economic Statistical Methods Division

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the U.S. Census Bureau







The Next Three Presentations



census.gov



My Discussion

Methods for treating missing product data



Research Team



Implementation Team





Major Changes for the 2017 Economic Census

- North American Product Classification (NAPCS) nearly 3,000 broad products and 5,000 detail products
 - Missing data treatment problem
 - New data item(s) problem
 - New economy-wide product-by-industry table problem
- All electronic data collection
- Only one option for reporting product sales data rounded to \$1,000



Example of Product Questions

Retail Trade Industry – New Car Dealers



ITEM 22: DETAIL OF SALES, SHIPMENTS, RECEIPTS, OR REVENUE

Of the \$,000.00 of Sales, Shipments, Receipts, or Revenue reported in Item 5, what was the value for each product or service?

| Retail sales of automobiles and light-duty trucks (Include all outright sales plus transportation charges, dealer preparation charges, and dealer-installed options. Deduct discounts granted to the purchaser as an increase in trade-in allowance over fair market value and manufacturers' rebates and incentives.) (Report rental of automobiles and light-duty trucks on line 9, leasing of automobiles and light-duty trucks on line 10, and maintenance and repair services and the value of service contracts for automobiles and light-duty trucks on line 11. Report wholesale sales of new and used automobiles on line 3 and wholesale sales of new and used light-duty trucks on line 4.) | 3 | |
|--|----|--------|
| a. Retail sales of new passenger cars (except fleet) | \$ | ,000.0 |
| b. Retail sales of new passenger cars fleet | \$ | ,000.0 |
| c. Retail sales of new vans and light-duty trucks, including minivans, cargo vans, sport utility vehicles (SUVs), and light passenger trucks (except fleet) | \$ | ,000.0 |
| d. Retail sales of new vans and light-duty trucks, including minivans, cargo vans, sport utility vehicles (SUVs), and light passenger trucks – fleet | \$ | ,000.0 |
| e. Retail sales of used passenger cars (Include sales of passenger cars previously rented or leased.) | \$ | ,000.0 |
| f. Retail sales of used vans and light-duty trucks, including minivans, cargo vans, sport utility vehicles (SUVs), and light passenger trucks (Include sales of vans and light-duty trucks previously rented or leased.) | \$ | ,000.0 |
| g. Retail sales of all other powered transportation vehicles (Report new motorcycles, motor scooters, and motor bikes on line 2a; used motorcycles, motor scooters, and motor bikes on line 2b; utility trailers, boats, and other sports vehicles on line 7; and motor homes, travel trailers, and campers on line 8.) | \$ | ,000.0 |
| | ¢ | .000.0 |

Detail Products

United States"

Bureau

| a. Retail sales of automotive lubricants, including oils, greases, etc. | \$,000.00 |
|--|---------------|
| b. Retail sales of new automobile and light-duty truck tires and tubes | \$,000.00 |
| c. Retail sales of new medium- and heavy-duty truck tires, including industrial, off-the-road, and farm tractor tires | \$,000.00 |
| d. Retail sales of retreaded or used automobile and light-duty truck tires | \$,000.00 |
| e. Retail sales of retreaded or used medium- and heavy-duty truck tires, including industrial, off-the- road, and farm tractor tires | \$,000.00 |
| f. Retail sales of automotive parts, new and rebuilt, including wheels (except batteries) | \$,000.0 |
| g. Retail sales of automotive parts, used, including wheels (except batteries) | \$,000.0 |
| h. Retail sales of automotive batteries | \$,000.0 |
| i. Retail sales of automotive accessories, including safety- and comfort-related items | \$,000.0 |
| Retail sales of automotive supplies, including appearance and maintenance chemicals, automotive paint, antifreeze, functional fluids, etc. (Report automotive lubricants, including oils and greases, on line 5a.) | \$,000.0 |
| k. Retail sales of automotive audio equipment, components, parts, and accessories (Include radios, stereos, compact disc players, mp3 players [audio only], and other sound reinforcement and recording equipment.) | \$,000.0 |



| . All other products and s | ervices, not elsewhere classified - write-in #1 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------|
| Pick one | Describe | \$,000.00 |
| . All other products and s | ervices, not elsewhere classified - write-in #2 | |
| Pick one | Describe | \$,000.00 |
| All other products and s Pick one | ervices, not elsewhere classified - write-in #3 | \$,000.00 |
| Add Additional Pro | oducts | |



Notes:

- Respondents can "write-in" products that are not pre-listed
- All **detail** product values should sum to their associated broad product value
- All broad product values should sum to the reported total value of sales (within a tolerance) for the establishment



Research Team

- \approx 1,000 industries
- \approx 8,000 products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors
- Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)



Research Challenges

- Team Composition (next slide)
- Short time frame (≈ 6-9 months)

- Magnitude of the problem
 - \approx 1,000 industries and \approx 8,000 products
- Historical data limitations (new collection)



Research Team Composition

- Methodologists
 - Very limited experience with Economic Census
 - Even less experience with "products"
- Subject matter experts
 - Very limited experience with imputation methodology
- NO Programmers/IT Specialists



Research Team

- \approx 1,000 industries
- \approx 8,000 products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors
- Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)



Research Team

- <u>1,000</u> 25 industries
- 8,000 <u>Top 10</u> products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- LOCAL Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors

Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)



Quick Summary

Research conducted under restricted conditions

- SAS code developed in-house
 - Not ready for prime time!
- Recommendation: Hot Deck
 - Nearest neighbor or random
 - Unaddressed production requirements





- Overlap
 - Team leader: Subject matter expert
 - Consultants: Methodologists (4)
- New members
 - Subject Matter Experts
 - Programmers
 - Methodologists (1 new)







| Торіс | Team Members | Knowledge Level |
|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Economic Census Processing | Subject Matter | Expert |
| | Methodologists | Varied |
| | Programmers/IT | Expert |
| Hot Deck Imputation | Subject Matter | Low |
| | Methodologists | Expert |
| | Programmers/IT | Low |



Research Team

- <u>1,000</u> 25 industries
- 8,000 <u>Top 10</u> products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- LOCAL Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors

Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)

- \approx 1,000 industries
- \approx 8,000 products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors
- Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)



Research Team

- <u>1,000</u> 25 industries
- 8,000 <u>Top 10</u> products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- LOCAL Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors

Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)

- \approx 1,000 industries
- \approx 8,000 products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors
- Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)
- "Must" products for industries
- Choice of hot deck method by industry
- Maximizing use of reported data
- Backup methods
- Processing time ...



Research Team

- <u>1,000 25</u> industries
- 8,000 <u>Top 10</u> products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- LOCAL Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors

Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)

- \approx 1,000 industries
- \approx 8,000 products
 - Broad products
 - Detail products
- Sample data (i.e., sampling weights)
- Imputation cells
 - Cell collapsing rules
 - Minimum number of donors
- Restrictions on value (> \$1,000)
- "Must" products for industries
- Choice of hot deck method by industry
- Maximizing use of reported data
- Backup methods
- Processing time



Vignettes

1. Processing time

2. Imputation Cell Collapsing/Minimal Donor

3. Imputation for Detail Products



Vignette 1: Processing Time

- Programmer concerns
 - Prohibitive processing time
 - Complex coding
- Addressed by
 - Testing methods
 - Test deck of 2.4 million donor records (with over 20 million products) and 1.1 million full recipients
 - Independent validation of production code
 - Collaborative development of specifications



Vignette 2: Imputation Cells

- Needed
 - Imputation cell definitions
 - Collapsing rules
 - Minimum donors

Limited research

Education Process



Simple Example

- Cell Collapsing
 - Ideal = Industry By Tax Status By Unit Type
 - Collapse 1 = Industry By Tax Status
 - DROP Unit Type
 - Base = Industry
 - DROP Unit Type and Tax Status
- Minimum cell count = 5
 - Base = 1



Compute Donor Counts Ideal Cells

| Industry | Tax Exempt Status | Unit Type | # of Establishments |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| AAAAA | Taxable | SU | 2 |
| | Taxable | MU | 2 |
| | Exempt | SU | 2 |
| | Exempt | MU | 2 |
| BBBBBB | Taxable | SU | 23 |
| | Taxable | MU | 35 |
| | Exempt | SU | 2 |
| | Exempt | MU | 4 |
| СССССС | Taxable | SU | 10 |
| | Taxable | MU | 3 |
| | Exempt | SU | 200 |
| | Exempt | MU | 2 |



Determine Usage of Ideal Cells

| Industry | Tax Exempt Status | Unit Type | # of Establishments | |
|----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--|
| ΑΑΑΑΑ | Taxable | SU | 2 | |
| | Taxable | MU | 2 | |
| | Exempt | Less than 5 | 2 | |
| | Exempt Estab | | \Rightarrow (2) | |
| BBBBBB | Taxable Collapse | | 23 | |
| | Taxable | MU | 35 | |
| | Exempt | SU | 2 | |
| | Exempt | MU | 4 | |
| сссссс | Taxable | SU | 10 | |
| | Taxable | MU | 3 | |
| | Exempt | SU | 200 | |
| | Exempt | MU | 2 | |



Compute Donor Counts for Collapse 1 Cells

| Industry | Tax Exempt Status | # of Establishments |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| ΑΑΑΑΑ | Taxable | 4 |
| | Exempt | 4 |
| BBBBBB | Taxable | 58 |
| | Exempt | 6 |
| СССССС | Taxable | 13 |
| | Exempt | 202 |

STILL Less than 5 Establishments ⇒ Collapse

All Counts ≥ 5 Establishments ⇒ Use Collapse 1 cells



Compute Donor Counts for Base Cells

| Industry | # of Establishments |
|----------|---------------------|
| ΑΑΑΑΑΑ | 8 |
| BBBBBB | |
| CCCCCC | |

All Counts \geq 1 Establishments \Rightarrow Use base cells



Final Cells for Hot Deck

| Industry | Tax Exempt Status | Unit Type | Hot Deck Cell |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|
| ΑΑΑΑΑ | ALL | ALL | Base |
| BBBBBB | Taxable | SU | Ideal |
| | Taxable | MU | Ideal |
| | Exempt | ALL | Collapse 1 |
| CCCCCC | Taxable | ALL | Collapse 1 |
| | Exempt | ALL | Collapse 1 |



Final Cells for Hot Deck

| Industry | Tax Exempt Status | Unit Type | Hot Deck Cell | |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|--|
| ΑΑΑΑΑ | ALL | ALL | Base | |
| BBBBBB | Taxable | SU | Ideal | |
| | Taxable | MU | Ideal | |
| | Exempt | ALL | Collapse 1 | |
| сссссс | Tavahla | | Collapse 1 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



The Contention Point

| Industry | Tax Exempt Status | Unit Type | # of Establishments |
|----------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| СССССС | Taxable | SU | 10 |
| | Taxable | MU | 3 |
| | Exempt | SU | 200 |
| | Exempt | MU | 2 |

Subject Matter Experts and Programmers Contention

- NO need to collapse for SU unit type
- "NOT FAIR"



Alternate Proposal

| Industry | Tax Exempt Status | Unit Type | Imputation Cell | # of Establishments |
|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|
| CCCCCC | Taxable | SU | Ideal | 10 |
| | Taxable | MU | Collapse 1 | 13 |
| | Exempt | SU | Ideal | 200 |
| | Exempt | MU | Collapse 1 | 202 |

Methodology Concerns (Severe)

- Imputation cells no longer disjoint
 - Affects variance estimation
- Hurts probability of selecting MU donors





<u>FOR ALTERNATIVE</u> Subject matter experts Programmers/IT specialists Methodologists (2.5)

AGAINST ALTERNATIVE Methodologists (2.5)



Compromise/Decision

Alternative Proposal

Minimum number of donors = 1

- Lessons learned:
 - Put in measures to avoid unacceptable compromises
 - Include programmers and subject matter experts at the research stage



Vignette 3: Detail Products

- Not considered during research stage
- Limited historic data for research
 - Businesses more likely to report broad products than detail products
 - Different types of details by industry
- Subject matter experts wanted to maximize use of valid reported data in imputation



Imputation of Detail Products

Group establishments into types

- Use category average (ratio) imputation
 - Statistical model frequently used for business data
 - NOT part of the research for product data
- "Hot deck" imputation all products (broad & detail)



Establishment Groups

| Donors | Broad products usable | |
|------------|--|--|
| Complete | All required Detail products balance to Broad products | |
| Partial | Some usable Detail products | |
| Minimal | No usable Detail products | |
| Recipients | Missing products | |
| Full | Need Broad products and Detail products | |
| Partial | Need some (designated) Detail products | |
| Minimal | Need all designated Detail products | |
| Ineligible | All products usable, but not "typical"; excluded from donor pool | |



Complete Donor Example





Partial Donor Example





Mimimal Donor Example





"Completing" Partial Donors



Category Averages for BL 2 and 3



Establishment Groups

| Donors | Broad products usable | | |
|------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Complete | All required Detail products add to Broad products | | |
| Partial | Some usable Detail products | | |
| Minimal | No usable Detail products | | |
| Recipients | Missing products | These units are <u>both</u> | |
| Full | Need Broad products and Detail pro | donors and recipients! | |
| Partial | Need some (designated) Detail products | | |
| Minimal | Need all designated Detail products | | |
| Ineligible | All products usable, but not "typical"; excluded from donor pool | | |



Going Back to Original Picture

BIG Problem

Research Team

Implementation Team

Summary

- Workable solutions
- Buy-in on methods
- Shared understanding
- Research ideas for 2022

U.S. CENSUS BUREAU census.gov

- A few "less than perfect" decisions
- Many extra meetings

Thank you

Contacts:

Katherine.J.Thompson@census.gov Laura.Bechtel@census.gov

