Complex Survey Variance and Design Effects in R using the Rstan and Survey packages

Matt Williams¹ Terrance Savitsky²

¹National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics National Science Foundation mrwillia@nsf.gov

> ²Office of Survey Methods Research Bureau of Labor Statistics Savitsky.Terrance@bls.gov

> > GASP Sept 23, 2019







Population Inference from Complex Survey Samples

- ► Goal: perform inference about a finite population generated from an unknown model, P_{θ0}.
- **Data:** from under a complex sampling design distribution, P_{ν}
 - Probabilities of inclusion π_i are often associated with the variable of interest (purposefully)
 - Sampling designs are "informative": the balance of information in the sample ≠ balance in the population.
- ▶ Biased Estimation: estimate P_{θ_0} without accounting for P_{ν} .
 - Use inverse probability weights $w_i = 1/\pi_i$ to mitigate bias.
- Incorrect Uncertainty Quantification:
 - Failure to account for dependence induced by P_ν leads to standard errors and confidence intervals that are the wrong size.

Variance Estimation

The de-facto approach:

- approximate sampling independence of the primary sampling units (Heeringa et al. 2010).
- within-cluster dependence treated as nuisance

Two common methods:

- Taylor linearization and replication based methods.
- A variety of implementations are available (Binder 1996, Rao et al. 1992).

Taylor Linearization

Let y_{ij} , X_{ij} , and w_{ij} be the observed data for individual i in cluster j of the sample. Assume the parameter θ is a vector of dimension d with population model value θ_0 .

- 1. Approximate an estimate $\hat{\theta}$, or a 'residual' $(\hat{\theta} \theta_0)$, as a weighted sum: $\hat{\theta} \approx \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} z_{ij}(\theta)$ where z_{ij} is a function evaluated at the current values of y_{ij} , X_{ij} , and $\hat{\theta}$.
- 2. Compute the weighted components for each cluster (e.g., primary sampling units (PSUs)): $\hat{\theta}_j = \sum_i w_{ij} z_{ij}(\theta)$.
- 3. Compute the variance between clusters: $\widehat{Var(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{1}{J-d} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_j) (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_j)^T$
- 4. For stratified designs, compute $\hat{\theta_s}$ and $Var(\hat{\theta_s})$ within strata and sum $Var(\hat{\theta_s}) = \sum_s Var(\hat{\theta_s})$.

Replication

Let y_{ij} , X_{ij} , and w_{ij} be the observed data for individual i in cluster j of the sample. Assume the parameter θ is a vector of dimension d with population model value θ_0 .

- 1. Through randomization (bootstrap), leave-one-out (jackknife), or orthogonal contrasts (balanced repeated replicates), create a set of Kreplicate weights $(w_i)_k$ for all $i \in S$ and for every $k = 1, \ldots, K$.
- 2. Each set of weights has a modified value (usually 0) for a subset of clusters, and typically has a weight adjustment to the other clusters to compensate: $\sum_{i \in S} (w_i)_k = \sum_{i \in S} w_i$ for every k.
- 3. Estimate $\hat{\theta}_k$ for each replicate $k \in 1, \ldots, K$.
- 4. Compute the variance between replicates: $\widehat{Var(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{1}{K-d} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_k) (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_k)^T.$
- 5. For stratified designs, generate replicates such that each strata is represented in every replicate.

Challenges

There are two notable trade-offs associated with these methods:

- Taylor linearization: value $\hat{\theta}$ computed once then used in a plug in for $z_i(\theta)$.
 - Replication methods: estimate $\hat{\theta}_k$ computed K times.
 - Sizable differences in computational effort
- Replication methods: no derivatives are needed.
 - Taylor linearization: requires the calculation of a gradient to derive the analytical form of the first order approximation z_i(θ).
 - This poses significant analytical challenges for all but the simplest models.

Some Improvements

Abstraction of Derivatives (less analytic work for Taylor Linearization)

- Recent advances in algorithmic differentiation (Margossian 2018), allows us to specify the model as a log density but only treat the gradient in the abstract without specifying it analytically.
- Implemented in Stan and Rstan (Carpenter 2015, Stan Development Team 2016)
- Hybrid Approach or Taylor Linearization for replicate designs (less computation for Replication approaches)
 - Survey package (Lumley 2016) to calculate replication variance of gradient
 - Use plug in for θ , only estimate once

Example: Design Effect for Survey-Weighted Bayes

- Williams & Savitsky (2018): https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.11796
- Pseudo posterior \propto Pseudo Likelihood \times Prior

$$\pi^{\pi}\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}|\mathbf{y}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}\right) \propto \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi\left(y_{i}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)^{\tilde{w}_{i}}\right] \pi\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\right)$$

Variances Differ:

- Weighted MLE: $H_{\theta_0}^{-1} J_{\theta_0}^{\pi} H_{\theta_0}^{-1}$ (Robust)
- Weighted Posterior: $H_{\theta_0}^{-1}$ (Model-Based)
- Adjust for Design Effect: $R_2^{-1}R_1$

R Code Schematic



References I

- Binder, D. A. (1996), 'Linearization methods for single phase and two-phase samples: a cookbook approach', *Survey Methodology* **22**, 17–22.
- Carpenter, B. (2015), 'Stan: A probabilistic programming language', *Journal of Statistical Software*.
- Heeringa, S. G., West, B. T. & Berglund, P. A. (2010), *Applied Survey Data Analysis*, Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Lumley, T. (2016), 'survey: analysis of complex survey samples'. R package version 3.32.
- Margossian, C. C. (2018), 'A review of automatic differentiation and its efficient implementation', CoRR abs/1811.05031. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.05031
- Rao, J. N. K., Wu, C. F. J. & Yue, K. (1992), 'Some recent work on resampling methods for complex surveys', Survey Methodology 18, 209–217.
- Stan Development Team (2016), 'RStan: the R interface to Stan'. R package version 2.14.1. URL: http://mc-stan.org/
- Williams, M. R. & Savitsky, T. D. (2018), 'Bayesian uncertainty estimation under complex sampling', arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.11796.